

#### **INSTRUCTIONS FOR USE OF ON-SITE REVIEW REPORT**

The On-Site Review Report form (OSRR) is designed to allow for standardized review and reporting on Respiratory Care programs. The On-Site Review is an integral component of the accreditation review process. As such, the on-site review team should focus its review on the following:

- The Evidence of Compliance required at time of on-site evaluation (specific evidence is listed in this report and relevant Standards are highlighted);
- 2. Standards identified as "Appear Not Met" or for which compliance could not be determined at time of self-study review;
- 3. Specific issues (Form X) identified by the Program Referee;
- 4. Findings from Self-Study Report questionnaires and on-site interviews.

In order to make the best use of the time available for the on-site review, the site visit team should refrain from reviewing Standards that have been previously determined to be compliant based on evidence submitted during the self-study, unless circumstances dictate. However, should the site visit team identify deficiencies in Standards previously identified by the self-study review to be compliant, the site visit team should check "Not Met" on Form B with a rationale, and provide further comments to the Referee on the second page of Form X.

#### How to Use the Form:

- 1. Meet as a team to discuss the agreement with each Standard. Complete the Summary Checklist (Form B) by noting "Standard Appears Met," or "Standard Appears Not Met." Include the Rationale as to how the findings of the Team support the citation. Be specific.
- 2. List program strengths (Form C).
- 3. Complete Suggestions for Enhancement (Form D) if appropriate.
- 4. Complete Additional Comments (Form E) if appropriate.
- Complete Form X to provide to the Referee comments or possible remedies for each citation listed on Form
   B. Do <u>not</u> present these recommendations to the program.
- 6. Circulate Form A to have each Summation Conference attendee print his/her name, so you may type each name on the electronic report.

#### **Communication of Findings:**

The team should meet with the program personnel prior to the Summation Conference to discuss findings and to eliminate any potential misunderstandings.

#### Submitting the Report:

Typing in the names of each team member on Form A is considered an electronic signature and both are in agreement with the report findings and submission of the OSRR. The Team Captain is responsible for submitting the report electronically within 5 working days of the visit. All Forms must be returned to the Executive Office. Reimbursement for all trip expenses will not be paid until the report is submitted.

CoARC OSRR-SAT ENTRY CSSR Rev 3/10/18

COAR			CCREDITATION FOR RES REPORT (For Use with S				
	ON-S	TE REVIE	W REPORT	Г			
(For u	(For use with the Satellite Entry Continuing SSR)						
Program Na	me:	Program #:					
	<b>iewer Check</b> ning Script	List:					
Suppo	nterviews Dean/Division Cha ort Personnel ory Committee m		<ul> <li>Key Personnel (PD, DCE</li> <li>Program Faculty</li> <li>Satellite Coordinator</li> </ul>	, MD)			
	ocumentation Minimal Evidence	e of Compliance Available	e for On-Site Review Team)				
COURSE MATERIALS         Results of student course evaluations (2.06/2.10/2.13/5.09)         Student evaluations performed by faculty, including supporting the equitable administration of the evaluations (3.06)         Student evaluations of instruction documenting satisfaction with the frequency of evaluations and opportunities for remediation and the equitable administration of the evaluations (3.06)         Results of proctored exams and an explanation of means used to assure academic integrity (3.06)         Course syllabi for all respiratory care and sleep specialist (if applicable) courses which include course description, general and specific course objectives, methods of evaluation, content outline, criteria for successful course completion (4.02/4.03/5.13)         KEY PERSONNEL / FACULTY         Records of interaction with key personnel including Advisory Committee meetings							
_	<b>2.11</b> ) Occumentation o	f contact with PD & DCE	( <b>2.14</b> ) If N/A				
		n vitae of program facul					
_	<b>MATERIALS</b> ist of all sites use	ed for clinical training ( <b>4.</b>	<b>10</b> )				
		chedules ( <b>4.11/5.13</b> )	nte or momoranda of under	standing with			
	ach clinical site (	4.10/4.11/5.08/5.09)	nts or memoranda of under	Page <b>2</b> of <b>18</b>			

COAF	COMMISSION ON ACCREDITATION FOR RES ON-SITE REVIEW REPORT (For Use with s	
	Documentation of DCE contact with clinical faculty/affiliates (2.10)	
	Documentation of physician interaction with students (2.11)	
	Results of program evaluations of all clinical sites and preceptors (3.	<b>12/4.09</b> )
	Results of student evaluations of clinical courses, sites, and precepto	ors ( <b>3.12/4.09</b> )
	Clinical syllabi detailing student competencies (4.08)	
	Evaluations that document the student's ability to perform all diagno therapeutic procedures safely and effectively in patient care settings	
	Evaluations that document the student's ability to communicate effect of patient care settings and to interact well with other members of t (4.05/5.12)	
	Evaluations that document the student's ability to apply knowledge, appropriate patient care, and adapt to changes in clinical conditions	•
	Evaluations that document the student's demonstration of ethical be professional responsibility ( <b>4.07/5.12</b> )	ehavior and
	Clinical evaluation mechanisms that document the progressive indep student in the clinical setting ( <b>4.08/5.12</b> )	pendence of the
	Documentation that student exposure to clinical experiences is equiv the clinical locations attended ( <b>4.09</b> )	valent regardless of
INTER-R	<b>RATER RELIABILITY</b> Documentation of review and analysis of clinical evaluations complete performing clinical evaluations ( <b>3.07</b> ) Documentation of implementation of an action plan to reduce incon variability is identified ( <b>3.07</b> )	
STUDEN	IT RECORDS	_
	Student advanced placement and course equivalency documentation	n ( <b>5.07</b> ) If N/A 📃
	Proof that the student met applicable published admission criteria (	5. <i>12</i> )
	Official transcripts (5.13)	
	NG, COUNSELING AND REMEDIATION Records of student advising sessions and academic counseling (3.06/	/5.11)
	Records of remediation (5.12)	
	Records of disciplinary action (5.12)	
	IG MINUTES Advisory Committee meeting minutes (5.13)	
	Program faculty meeting minutes (5.13)	
CoARC OSRR-SA	T ENTRY CSSR Rev 3/10/18	Page <b>3</b> of <b>18</b>

COARC COMMISSION ON ACCREDITATION FOR RESPIRATORY CARE ON-SITE REVIEW REPORT (For Use with SAT ENTRY CSSR)
SURVEYS Hard copy or electronic records of completed CoARC Graduate and Employer Surveys (3.08/4.04/4.05/4.06/4.07/4.08/4.11/5.13)
CoARC Student-Program and Personnel-Program Resource Surveys (5.13)
<b>COMPLAINTS</b> Record of complaints (if any) that includes the nature and disposition of each complaint ( <i>5.05</i> )
WORK STUDY Work study contracts ( <i>5.09</i> )
Additional Documentation:



# FORM A

## **On-Site Review Attendance List**

FORM A

	Referee:
Approval of Intent	
	$\sim$
	Print Name and Title
10	
	Approval of Intent

Name/Credentials of Team Captain (PRINT)

Name/Credentials of Team Member (PRINT)

Note: Typing in the on-site reviewer's name represents an electronic signature of this document.



### FORM B

### **Summary Checklist**

FORM B

Program Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Program #: \_\_\_\_\_

Instructions: Check the appropriate box indicating the team's judgment of the compliance with each of the Standards based on the review of the evidence obtained from the on-site review. After the report is submitted to CoARC, the program Referee may add, delete, or modify the content of the report prior to sending the report to the program. The program is then allowed the opportunity to respond in writing before final action is taken by the CoARC Board. **Note:** Evidence for compliance with highlighted Standards must be made available to on-site review team.

Standard	Standard	Description	Standa Appea Met		Compliance determined at time of self-study submission
I	PROGRAM ADMINISTR	ATION AND SPONSO	RSHIP		
	Institutional Accreditation	ı			
1.01	Sponsor is accredited and minimum of an Associate'		0	Compliance evaluated with submission of the base program CSSF	۲.
	Consortium				
1.02	Responsibilities of consortium formally documented.	check if not applicable	he 🗌	Rationale:	
	Sponsor Responsibilities				
1.03	Required gen ed/transfer credit/didactic/ lab/clinical.	check if not applicable	he 🗌 🗌	Rationale:	
1.04	Curric planning/course selection/faculty growth.	check if EOC is the same as the base pro-		Rationale:	
1.05	Provides equivalent acade to all program locations (s		Irces	Rationale:	



Standard	Standard	Description	Standard Appears Met	Standard Appears Not Met, including Rationale (i.e., describe the findings that support the judgment that the Standard appears Not Met. Be specific about which elements of a Standard appear Not Met.)	Compliance determined at time of self-study submission
1.06	Program academic policies apply to all locations.	check if EOC is the same as the base program		Rationale:	
	Substantive Changes				
1.07	Substantive Changes reported according to CoARC Policy 9.0	check if no substantive changes other than (i)		Rationale:	

Ш	INSTITUTIONAL AND	PERSONNEL RESOURCES			
	Institutional Resources				
2.01	Sponsor ensures that re achieve program goals r	sources are sufficient to egardless of location.		Rationale:	
	Key Program Personnel				
2.02	Sponsor appoints FT PD and DCE, and MD.	check if key personnel is the same as the base program		Rationale:	
	Program Director				
2.03	Responsibilities.	check if PD is the same as the base program		Rationale:	
2.04	Minimum degree qualif	cations.	Compliance with Standard verified by documentation previously received by EC		by EO.
2.05	Minimum/valid credentials and experience.	check if PD is the same as the base program		Rationale:	
2.06	Regular/consistent cont	act w/fac & students.		Rationale:	
	Director of Clinical Educ	cation			



#### COMMISSION ON ACCREDITATION FOR RESPIRATORY CARE

#### **ON-SITE REVIEW REPORT (For Use with SAT ENTRY CSSR)**

2.07	Responsibilities.	check if DCE is the same as the base program		Rationale:		
2.08	Minimum degree qualifi	cations.	Com	Compliance with Standard verified by documentation previously received by		
2.09	Minimum/valid credentials and experience.	check if DCE is the same as the base program		Rationale:		
2.10	Regular/consistent cont	act w/clin fac, sites, students		Rationale:		
	Medical Director					
2.11	Responsibilities/valid credentials and qualifications.	check if MD/Co-MD is the same as the base program		Rationale:		
	Primary Sleep Specialist Instructor					
2.12	Minimum/valid credentials, education, and qualifications.					
	Instructional Faculty					
2.13	Sufficient faculty; stude	nt to clin faculty ratio ≤ 6:1.		Rationale:		
2.14	Site coordinator qualific (satellite only).	ations and responsibilities		Rationale:		
	Administrative Support Staff					
2.15	Sufficient administrative and clerical support.			Rationale:		
	Assessment of Program	Resources				
2.16	Documented/assessed a	annually by using RAM.		Rationale:		

ш	PROGRAM GOALS, OUTCOMES, AND ASSESSMENT		
	Statement of Program Goals		
3.01	Statements define minimum expectations.	Rationale:	
3.02	Review/analysis of goals and outcomes.	Rationale:	



3.03	Optional goals compatible w/ nationally accepted standards.	check if not applicable	Rationale:	
3.04	Advisory committee composition & responsibilities.	<ul> <li>check if Advisory</li> <li>Committee is the same</li> <li>as the base program</li> </ul>	Rationale:	
	Assessment of Program G	oals		
3.05	Systematic assessment pro	ocess formulated.	Reviewed at the time of the annual report submission for complianc	e.
	Student Evaluation			
3.06	Conducted uniformly and o Documented w/ sufficient Academic integrity process	frequency/remediation;	Rationale:	
3.07	Inter-rater reliability for cl	inical evaluations.	Rationale:	
	Assessment of Program O	utcomes		
3.08	Assessed annually using Co	oARC surveys.	Rationale:	
	Reporting of Program Res	ources		
3.09	Outcomes meet CoARC as	sessment thresholds.	Reviewed at the time of the annual report submission for compliance	e.
3.10	CoARC Annual RCS reporting tool submitted.		Reviewed at the time of the annual report submission for compliance.	
3.11	Action plan developed for sub-threshold outcomes.		Reviewed at the time of the annual report submission for complianc	e.
	<b>Clinical Site Evaluation</b>			
3.12	Processes consistent, effect Student supervision at eact		Rationale:	

IV	CURRICULUM		
	Minimum Course Content		
4.01	Appropriate course sequencing of content areas.	Rationale:	



4.02	Exposure to variety of practice settings; Content areas specific to baccalaureate and master's programs included.		Rationale:	
4.03	RC course content sufficiently covered; Consistent with expected competencies; Reviewed/revised to ensure consistency with defined competencies.		Rationale:	
	Core Competencies			
4.04	RC diagnostic and therapeutic procedures.		Rationale:	
4.05	Inter-professional teamwork and communication skills in a variety of patient care settings.		Rationale:	
4.06	Application of problem solving strategies.		Rationale:	
4.07	Ethical decision-making and prof responsibility.		Rationale:	
	Length of Study			
4.08	Sufficient to acquire knowledge/competencies.		Rationale:	
	Equivalency			
4.09	Course content, learning experiences, and access to learning materials regardless of location.		Rationale:	
	Clinical Practice			
4.10	Clinical affiliationcheck if clinicalagreements/MOUs foraffiliates are the same aseach site.the base program		Rationale:	
4.11	Sufficient quality/duration to meet program goals; Students not responsible for site selection/ determining competencies/acquiring preceptors.		Rationale:	

V	FAIR PRACTICES AND RECORDKEEPING		
	Disclosure		
5.01	Published info accurately reflects program offered.	Rationale:	
5.02	Required info made known to applicants & students.	Rationale:	



	Public Information on Program Outcomes			
5.03	website/known to public	check if EOC is the came as the base program	Rationale:	
	Non-discriminatory Practice			
5.04	Program activities are non- discriminatory and lawful.		Rationale:	
5.05	Appeal procedures ensure fairness/due process.	check if EOC is the same as the base program	Rationale:	
5.06	Faculty grievance procedure made known to faculty.	check if EOC is the same as the base program	Rationale:	
5.07	Advanced placement policies documented.	lic the came ac	Rationale:	
	Safeguards			
5.08	Health and safety of patients, students, and faculty adequately safeguarded.	check if EOC is the same as the base program	Rationale:	
5.09	Appropriate supervision; Students are not substituted for staff; No remuneration in exchange for clin coursework.	check if EOC is the same as the base program	Rationale:	
5.10	No clinical coursework while in an employee status.	check if EOC is the same as the base program	Rationale:	
	Academic Guidance			
5.11	Timely access to faculty for assi	istance/counseling.	Rationale:	
	Student and Program Records			



5.12	Student evaluation records maintained securely, confidentially, and in sufficient detail/5 years min.	Rationale:	
5.13	Program records maintained in sufficient detail/5 years min.	Rationale:	





FORM C	Strengths	FORM C
Program Name:		Program #:
	Write the Strengths of the program.	
		0
	10	
*Duplicate as Necessary		



# FORM D

## Suggestions for Enhancement



Program Name:

Program #:

Standard	Write the Suggestions for Enhancement.
(Reference)	(Note: Programs are <u>not</u> required to respond to Suggestions for Enhancement).
Duplicate as	Necessary



**FORM E** 

### COMMISSION ON ACCREDITATION FOR RESPIRATORY CARE ON-SITE REVIEW REPORT (For Use with SAT ENTRY CSSR)

## Additional Comments

### FORM E

Program Name:

Program #:

Write Additional Comments, if any. (Note: Programs are <u>not</u> required to respond to Additional Comments).
*Duplicate as Necessary



## **FORM X**

### **Confidential to CoARC**

### **FORM X**

**On-Site Reviewers:** Please respond to each of the special instructions from the Referee and return this page to the CoARC Executive Office with your site visit report. Please **DO NOT** leave a copy of the site visit report with the program.

Program N	ame: Program #:		
<b>Standard</b> (Reference)	Section A: On-Site Reviewers should pay particular attention to the following: (Note: Do NOT present this information to the program. For CoARC use ONLY).		
	Referee's Comments from Self-Study:		
	On-site Reviewer's Findings:		
	On-site Reviewer's Recommendations:		
	Referee's Comments from Self-Study:		
	On-site Reviewer's Findings:		
	On-site Reviewer's Recommendations:		
	Referee's Comments from Self-Study:		
	On-site Reviewer's Findings:		
	On-site Reviewer's Recommendations:		
	Referee's Comments from Self-Study:		
	On-site Reviewer's Findings:		
	On-site Reviewer's Recommendations:		



	Pefereo's Commo	ts from Self-Study:				
	Referee's Comments from Self-Study:					
	On-site Reviewer's Findings:					
	On-site Reviewer's Recommendations:					
	Referee's Comments from Self-Study:					
	On-site Reviewer's Findings:					
	On-site Reviewer's	Recommendations:	0.			
Duplicate as	Necessary		0			
FO	RM X	Confidential to CoARC	FORM X			
Program N	ame:		Program #:			
<b>Standard</b> (Reference)		ite comments and/or remedies for o NOT present this information to the progra				
	Foom- Ploose respo	nd to the Referee using Form X				

Note to CoARC – remove Form X when preparing the report to be sent to the program.



### FORM F

## Referee's Analysis of the On-Site Review Report-SATELLITE ENTRY CSSR

FORM F

#### Program Name:

Program #:

Dear Sponsor and Program Director,

I have reviewed the findings documented by the Site Visit team during the recent on-site review of your program and made revisions, as necessary. Please review the entire report. Stated below are required means by which the program must demonstrate compliance with the Standards cited.

In a separate communication, CoARC has informed you of the opportunity and deadline to verify/challenge the accuracy of this report as well as the deadline by which you may submit new information indicating the manner in which the citations have been addressed.

If you have any questions, please feel free to contact me or the Executive Director

<b>Standard</b> (from Form B)	Rationale for Citation	Documentation to Address Compliance with Standard
		•

**Duplicate as Necessary** 

Referee Signature Signifying Approval of Document Release to the Program:

Date:	/	//	/
-------	---	----	---

Note: Typing in the Referee's name represents an electronic signature of this document.

Executive Director Signature Signifying Approval of Document Release to the Program:

Date:	

Note: Typing in the Executive Director's name represents an electronic signature of this document