## COARC

#### COMMISSION ON ACCREDITATION FOR RESPIRATORY CARE

**ON-SITE REVIEW REPORT (For Use with ADT DA PSSR)** 

#### **INSTRUCTIONS FOR USE OF ON-SITE REVIEW REPORT**

The On-Site Review Report form (OSRR) is designed to allow for standardized review and reporting on Respiratory Care programs. The On-Site Review is an integral component of the accreditation review process. As such, the on-site review team should focus its review on the following:

- 1. The Evidence of Compliance required at time of on-site evaluation (specific evidence is listed in this report and relevant Standards are highlighted);
- 2. Standards identified as "Appear Not Met" or for which compliance could not be determined at time of self-study review;
- 3. Specific issues (Form X) identified by the Program Referee;
- 4. Findings from Self-Study Report questionnaires and on-site interviews.

In order to make the best use of the time available for the on-site review, the site visit team should refrain from reviewing Standards that have been previously determined to be compliant based on evidence submitted during the self-study, unless circumstances dictate. However, should the site visit team identify deficiencies in Standards previously identified by the self-study review to be compliant, the site visit team should check "Not Met" on Form B with a rationale, and provide further comments to the Referee on the second page of Form X.

#### How to Use the Form:

- 1. Meet as a team to discuss the agreement with each Standard. Complete the Summary Checklist (Form B) by noting "Standard Appears Met," or "Standard Appears Not Met." Include the Rationale as to how the findings of the Team support the citation. Be specific.
- 2. List program strengths (Form C).
- 3. Complete Suggestions for Enhancement (Form D) if appropriate.
- 4. Complete Additional Comments (Form E) if appropriate.
- 5. Complete Form X to provide to the Referee comments or possible remedies for each citation listed on Form B. Do <u>not</u> present these recommendations to the program.
- 6. Circulate Form A to have each Summation Conference attendee print his/her name, so you may type each name on the electronic report.

#### **Communication of Findings:**

The team should meet with the program personnel prior to the Summation Conference to discuss findings and to eliminate any potential misunderstandings.

#### Submitting the Report:

Typing in the names of each team member on Form A is considered an electronic signature and both are in agreement with the report findings and submission of the OSRR. The Team Captain is responsible for submitting the report electronically within 5 working days of the visit. All Forms must be returned to the Executive Office. Reimbursement for all trip expenses will not be paid until the report is submitted.



ON-SITE REVIEW REPORT (For Use with ADT DA PSSR)

## **ON-SITE REVIEW REPORT**

(For use with the ADT Degree Advancement Provisional SSR)

Program Name:	Program #:
On-Site Reviewer Check List:  Read Opening Script	
Conduct interviews  CEO, Dean/Division Chair Support Personnel Advisory Committee members	<ul><li>Key Personnel (PD, DCE, Medical Adivsor)</li><li>Program Faculty</li><li>other:</li></ul>
Review documentation (Including Minimal Evidence of Complia	ance Available for On-Site Review Team)
<b>COURSE MATERIALS</b>	
DA Standard 2.1	
	the program's learning management systems and educational the proposed interactive learning tools and instructor feedback
DA Standards 2.6/2.7  Demonstrate how program	faculty engage students.
DA Standard 2.8	
Demonstrate ancillary supp support, and other student	ort services for students such as library resources, writing center services available online.
DA Standard 3.1	
Program goals published in	student handbook and on the program or institutional web site.
DA Standards 3.4/3.5	
	tcome plan that would include delineation of the learning outcomes, roughout the curriculum and how they are assessed
Course mapping of all syllab	i to expected student learning outcomes and program goals.
Review of the assessment in	ncluding any rubrics or direct objective measures.



JUARC		THE REVIEW REPORT (FOR OSE WITH ADT DATISSIN
	-	es that pertain to Degree Advancement Program goals, omes.
specific	yllabi for all respiratory care co	ourses which include course description, general and evaluation, content outline, and criteria for successful
DA Standards 4.3 Program through	faculty discuss how they incor	porate curricular material described in Standards 4.3
KEY PERSONNE	L/FACULTY	
DA Standards 2 Records meeting	of interaction with Key Person	nel including attendance at Advisory Committee
DA Standard 6.  Detailed	8 clinical schedules (if applicable	
Additional Documen	tation:	
Inspect facilities	::	
Classroom Laborator	ies (respiratory, computer)	Student ancillary Offices
Prepare prelimi	nary site visit report on site	
Consultation Co	nference	
Summation Con	ference: read Summation Scri	ot, including strengths and deficiencies
Finalize site visi	t report, if necessary	
File site visit rep	oort with CoARC Executive Office	ce within 5 working days of visit



**ON-SITE REVIEW REPORT (For Use with ADT DA PSSR)** 

### **FORM A**

# **On-Site Review Attendance List**

### **FORM A**

Program #:	Ref	eree:
Name of Program:		
Program Address:		
City, State, Zip:		
Accreditation Status:	Approval of Intent	
Date(s) Visited:		
Program Director:		
Director of Clinical Education:		
Medical Advisor:		
Summation Conference Atten		
Print Name a	nd Title	Print Name and Title
Name/Credentials of Team Cap Note: Typing in the on-site reviewer's	otain (PRINT) Nai	me/Credentials of Team Member (PRINT) re of this document.

## COARC

Program Name: \_\_\_\_\_

#### **COMMISSION ON ACCREDITATION FOR RESPIRATORY CARE**

**ON-SITE REVIEW REPORT (For Use with ADT DA PSSR)** 

### **FORM B**

program

## **Summary Checklist**

Program #:

FORM B

obtained f sending th	from the on-site review. After the report is submitted	to CoARC, t ved the opp	the compliance with each of the Standards based on the review of the program Referee may add, delete, or modify the content of the reportunity to respond in writing before final action is taken by the Cailable to on-site review team.	eport prior to
Standard	Standard Description	Standard Appears Met	Standard Appears Not Met, including Rationale (i.e., describe the findings that support the judgment that the Standard appears Not Met.  Be specific about which elements of a Standard appear Not Met.)	Compliance determined at time of self-study submission
1	PROGRAM ADMINISTRATION AND SPONSORSHIP			
	Institutional Accreditation			
DA1.1	Sponsor is accredited and authorized to award a minimum of a Baccalaureate degree.	Evid	ence of Compliance Previously Evaluated with Submission of LOI App	lication
	Consortium			
DA1.2	Responsibilities of consortium formally documented.   Check if not applicable check if not applicable check if not applicable check if not applicable check if EOC is the same as the base program		Rationale:	
	Sponsor Responsibilities			
DA1.3	Required gen ed/transfer credit/didact/lab/clinical. check if EOC is the same as the base program		Rationale:	
DA1.4	Curric planning/course selection/faculty growth.		Rationale:	



Standard	Standard I	Description	Standard Appears Met	Standard Appears Not Met, including Rationale (i.e., describe the findings that support the judgment that the Standard appears Not Met. Be specific about which elements of a Standard appear Not Met.)	Compliance determined at time of self-study submission
DA1.5	Academic policies apply to all students and faculty regardless of location of instruction.	check if EOC is the same as the base program		Rationale:	
	Substantive Changes				
DA1.6	Substantive Changes reported according to CoARC Policy 9.0 .				



Standard	Standard Description		Standard Appears Met	Standard Appears Not Met, including Rationale (i.e., describe the findings that support the judgment that the Standard appears Not Met.  Be specific about which elements of a Standard appear Not Met.)	Compliance determined at time of self-study submission
2	INSTITUTIONAL AND P	ERSONNEL RESOURCES			
	Institutional Resources				
DA2.1	Sponsor ensures that resachieve program goals re			Rationale:	
	Key Program Personnel				
DA2.2	Sponsor appoints FT PD (DCE and MA if clinicals).	check if key personnel are the same as the base program		Rationale:	
	Program Director				
DA2.3	Responsibilities.	check if PD is the same as the base program		Rationale:	
DA2.4	Minimum degree qualifications.			Rationale:	
DA2.5	Minimum/valid credentia	als and experience.	þ	Rationale:	
DA2.6	Regular/consistent conta	ct w/faculty and students.		Rationale:	
	Instructional Faculty				
DA 2.7	Sufficient faculty; designated supervisor at each site.			Rationale:	
	Administrative Support Staff				
DA 2.8	Sufficient administrative	and clerical support.		Rationale:	
	Assessment of Program	Resources			
DA2.9	Documented/assessed ar	nnually by using RAM.		Rationale:	



Standard	Standard Description		Standard Appears Met	Standard Appears Not Met, including Rationale (i.e., describe the findings that support the judgment that the Standard appears Not Met. Be specific about which elements of a Standard appear Not Met.)	Compliance determined at time of self-study submission
3	PROGRAM GOALS, OUTCOME ASSESSMENT	S, AND			
	Statement of Program Goals				
DA3.1	Statements define minimum exp	ectations.		Rationale:	
DA3.2	Review/analysis of goals and out	comes.		Rationale:	
DA3.3	Learning tion & responsibilities. L	check if AC is the same as the base program		Rationale:	
	Student Evaluation				
DA3.4	Define and distribute ESLOs/competencies.			Rationale:	
DA3.5	Formulate assess process/rubrics	s/annual review.		Rationale:	
DA3.6	Outcomes must be assessed annu Graduate Surveys.			Rationale:	
DA3.7	Academic integrity process for distance education to include: plagiarism, safeguards, and grading rubrics.			Rationale:	
	Assessment of Program Outcom	es			
DA3.8	The program must, at a minimur outcome thresholds established related to programmatic ESLOs			Rationale:	
	Reporting of Program Resources	i			
DA3.9	CoARC Annual RCS reporting too	l submitted.		Rationale:	
DA3.10	Action plan developed for sub-th	reshold outcomes.		Rationale:	



## COMMISSION ON ACCREDITATION FOR RESPIRATORY CARE ON-SITE REVIEW REPORT (For Use with ADT DA PSSR)

Standard	Standard Description	Standard Appears Met	Standard Appears Not Met, including Rationale (i.e., describe the findings that support the judgment that the Standard appears Not Met. Be specific about which elements of a Standard appear Not Met.)	Compliance determined at time of self-study submission
4	CURRICULUM			
	Course Content			
DA4.1	Integrated to attain expected competencies/goals.		Rationale:	
DA4.2	Periodically reviewed/revised to ensure consistency with goals and competencies.		Rationale:	
	Competencies			
DA4.3	Practice-Specific Knowledge and Skills		Rationale:	
DA4.4	Clinical Knowledge check if not applicable		Rationale:	
DA4.5	Professional Attributes		Rationale:	
DA4.6	Interpersonal and Inter-professional Communication		Rationale:	
DA4.7	Practice-Based Research		Rationale:	
DA4.8	Professional Leadership		Rationale:	
	Length of Study			
DA4.9	Sufficient to acquire expected competencies		Rationale:	
	Equivalency			
DA4.10	Course content, learning experiences, and access to learning materials for all students in a given track.		Rationale:	



Standard	Standard Description		Standard Appears Met	Standard Appears Not Met, including Rationale (i.e., describe the findings that support the judgment that the Standard appears Not Met.  Be specific about which elements of a Standard appear Not Met.)	Compliance determined at time of self-study submission
5	FAIR PRACTICES AND RECO	ORDKEEPING			
	Disclosure				
DA5.1	Published info accurately refl	ects program offered.		Rationale:	
DA5.2	Required info made known to	applicants & students.		Rationale:	
	Public Information on Progra	am Outcomes			
DA5.3	CoARC URL on program webs	site/known to public.		Rationale:	
	Non-discriminatory Practice				
DA5.4	Program activities are non- discriminatory and lawful.	check if EOC is the same as the base program		Rationale:	
DA5.5	Appeal procedures ensure fairness/due process.	check if EOC is the same as the base program		Rationale:	
DA5.6	Faculty grievance procedure made known to faculty.	check if EOC is the same as the base program		Rationale:	
DA5.7	Advanced placement policies documented.	check if EOC is the same as the applicable base program		Rationale:	
	Academic Guidance				



DA5.8	l assistance/counseling	check if EOC is the me as the base ogram		Rationale:	
	Student and Program Records				
DA5.9	Student evaluation records main confidentially, and in sufficient d	• •		Rationale:	
DA5.10	Program records maintained in s min.	sufficient detail/5 year		Rationale:	
6	FOR PROGRAMS THAT HAVE OTHERWISE, T	A CLINICAL COMPONE O BE LEFT BLANK	NT ONLY;		
	Key Program Personnel				
6.1	The sponsor must appoint a full to Director of Clinical Education (DCI and MD.	I norgannol aro the	D	Rationale:	
	Director of Clinical Education				
6.2	DCE Responsibilities	check if DCE is the same as the base program		Rationale:	
6.3	DCE Educational Requirements (Master's degree or higher require	ed) check if DCE is the same as the base program		Rationale:	
6.4	DCE-minimum valid credentials are experience	the same as the base program		Rationale:	
6.5	DCE-regular/consistent contact w clinical faculty sites and students	check if not applicable		Rationale:	
	Medical Advisor				



6.6	Medical Advisor Responsibilities/Valid Credentials and Qualifications	check if MA is the same as the base program		Rationale:	
	Clinical Faculty				
6.7	Sufficient Faculty; Student to Faculty Ra	tio 6:1		Rationale:	
	Clinical Practice				
6.8	Clinical Affiliation Agreements/MOUs for each site	check if the same as the base program		Rationale:	
6.9	Sufficient quality/duration to meet program goals; Students not responsible for site selection/ determining competencies/acquiring preceptors.			Rationale:	
	Clinical Site Evaluations				
6.10	Processes consistent, effective, and ongoing; Student supervision at each site is sufficient.			Rationale:	
	Safeguards				
6.11	Students must be appropriately supervised at all times during their clinical education coursework and experiences. Students must not be used to substitute for clinical, instructional, or administrative staff during clinical rotations.	check if EOC is the same as the base program		Rationale:	
6.12	The health, privacy, and safety of patients, students, and faculty associated with the educational activities and learning environment of the students must be adequately safeguarded.	check if EOC is the same as the base program		Rationale:	



**ON-SITE REVIEW REPORT (For Use with BASE DA PSSR)** 

**FORM C** 

## **Strengths**

**FORM C** 

Program Name:	Program #:
Write the Stren	gths of the program.



**ON-SITE REVIEW REPORT (For Use with BASE DA PSSR)** 

### **FORM D**

## **Suggestions for Enhancement**

**FORM D** 

Program Name: Program #:

Standard	Write the Suggestions for Enhancement.
(Reference)	(Note: Programs are <u>not</u> required to respond to Suggestions for Enhancement).

Duplicate as Necessary



**ON-SITE REVIEW REPORT (For Use with BASE DA PSSR)** 

**FORM E** 

# **Additional Comments**

**FORM E** 

Program Name:	Program #:
	Write Additional Comments, if any.  (Note: Programs are <u>not</u> required to respond to Additional Comments).



**ON-SITE REVIEW REPORT (For Use with BASE DA PSSR)** 

### **FORM X**

## Confidential to CoARC

**FORM X** 

**On-Site Reviewers:** Please respond to each of the special instructions from the Referee and return this page to the CoARC Executive Office with your site visit report. Please **DO NOT** leave a copy of the site visit report with the program.

Program N	ame: Program #:	
Standard (Reference)	Section A: On-Site Reviewers should pay particular attention to the following:  (Note: Do NOT present this information to the program. For CoARC use ONLY).	
	Referee's Comments from Self-Study:	
	On-site Reviewer's Findings:	
	On-site Reviewer's Recommendations:	
	Referee's Comments from Self-Study:	
	On-site Reviewer's Findings.	
	On-site Reviewer's Recommendations:	
	Referee's Comments from Self-Study:	
	On-site Reviewer's Findings:	
	On-site Reviewer's Recommendations:	
	Referee's Comments from Self-Study:	
	On-site Reviewer's Findings:	
	On-site Reviewer's Recommendations:	



**ON-SITE REVIEW REPORT (For Use with BASE DA PSSR)** 

	Referee's Comments from Self-Study:	
	On-site Reviewer's Findings:	
	On-site Reviewer's Recommendations:	
	Referee's Comments from Self-Study:	
	On-site Reviewer's Findings:	
	On-site Reviewer's Recommendations:	

**Duplicate as Necessary** 

**FORM X** 

# Confidential to CoARC

**FORM X** 

Program Name: Program #:

Standard (Reference)	Section B: Write comments and/or remedies for each Citation from Form B. (Note: Do NOT present this information to the program. For CoARC use ONLY).	
4		

Note to SV Team- Please respond to the Referee using Form X Note to CoARC – remove Form X when preparing the report to be sent to the program.



**ON-SITE REVIEW REPORT (For Use with BASE DA PSSR)** 

Program #:

## FORM F

Program Name:

## Referee's Analysis of the **On-Site Review Report-BASE DA PSSR**

**FORM F** 

Dear Sponsor and Pr	rogram Director,	
revisions, as necess	=	ring the recent on-site review of your program and made below are required means by which the program must
	s the deadline by which you may submit new	ortunity and deadline to verify/challenge the accuracy of information indicating the manner in which the citations
If you have any ques	stions, please feel free to contact me or the Exe	cutive Director.
Standard (from Form B)	Rationale for Citation	Documentation to Address Compliance with Standard
Duplicate as Necess	ary	
Referee Signature	Signifying Approval of Document Release	to the Program:
Note: Typing in the Re	eferee's name represents an electronic signature of t	Date:
Chief Operating O	fficer Signature Signifying Approval of Doc	ument Release to the Program:
		Date:/
Note: Typing in the Ex	ecutive Director's name represents an electronic sig	
COADC OSDD_BASE D	Page 19 of 19	